UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,281	02/03/2006	Gerrit Jan Nieuwenhuis	MULLE45.001APC	6247
20995 7590 03/07/2008 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN ST		BUI, LUAN KIM		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/07/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/541,281	NIEUWENHUIS, GERRIT JAN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Luan K. Bui	3728		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 J 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4 and 6-15 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-15 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to the above claim(s) and filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to the above claim(s) and filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to the above claim(s) and filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to the above claim(s) and filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to the above claim(s) and filed on is/are withdraw	n from consideration.  or election requirement.  er.  cepted or b) □ objected to by the			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/3/06.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5)  Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/541,281 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

1. Applicant's election of Group V, Figure 5 in the reply filed on 1/31/2008 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Applicant's indication that claims 1, 4, 6, 8 and 13 read on the elected embodiment of Figure 5 is noted. However, since claim 8 recites "at least one incision extends in a radial direction with respect to the circular opening" and claim 13 recites "at least one incision located at a minimum distance from the external circumference has a straight shape" and since the elected embodiment clearly has no such incision, claims 8 and 13 are deemed not to read on the elected embodiment. Claims 7-15 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

#### **Continued Prosecution Application**

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/11/2007 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,281 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

4. Claims 1, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, lines 10-12, the phrases "the opening" and "said opening" lack proper antecedent basis. In claim 1, the phrases "a number of punched openings" and "one or more openings" are confusion and indefinite because it is not clear whether "one or more openings" is the same as "a number of punched openings". The phrases "the front flat foil bag portion" in claim 1, "the rearward flat foil bag portion" in claim 4 and "the front side folds" in

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

claim 6 lack proper antecedent basis.

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilfong, Jr. (4,995,860). Wilfong discloses in the embodiment of Figures 11-12, a foil bag (10, 11) comprising a flattened bag having a sealed bottom (17), a number of openings (20) in the vicinity of the upper edges of the foil bag to be unfolded with at least one opening located adjacent to the upper edge and having a diameter which is substantially equal or even greater than the external pin diameter (27) of the support and a front flat foil bag portion having an incision (21) between the upper edge and the at least one opening and the incision is an essentially straight continuous incision provided the upper edge to the opening.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,281 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

As to claims 4 and 6, Wilfong further discloses a rearward flat foil bag portion has no incisions extending from the upper edge to the opening and a front side folds have openings and incisions similar to the front flat foil bag portion (Figure 12 and column 8, lines 8-15).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4552. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

lkb March 6, 2008 /Luan K. Bui/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728